Impact of Bullying and Low Perceived Social Support on Ultra-High-Risk for Psychosis in Immigrant Adolescents: A Preliminary Study
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Abstract
The purpose of our research is to identify, in a sample of immigrant adolescents, the presence of Ultra-High-Risk (UHR) for psychosis and to analyze the interaction between UHR, experience of bullying victimization and low social support.
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1. Introduction

The psychopathological risk for mental health in immigrants is subject of interest and study by beginning of last century (Kraepelin, 1904). The literature reports recurrence in this population of various disorders as depression / withdrawal (Leveque, Lodewyckx, & Franken, 2007), aggressive / deviant behavior (Stevens & Vollebergh, 2008), Psychosomatic disorders (Sundquist, Bayard-Burfield, Johansson, & Johansson, 2000; Gruner, Oster, Müller, & von Wietersheim, 2012), school failure (Georgiades, Boyle, & Fife, 2013), psychotic break (Velting 2013), self-harm and suicidality (Kim & Leventhal, 2008), psychosis (Cantor-Graae, & Selten, 2005). The impact of psychotic disorders in the general adult population is 0.5-5 / 10,000 and the odds ratio for the risk of psychosis in immigrant adults is 2.9 compared to the general population (Cantor-Graae, & Selten, 2005). When we say that adults experience psychotic-like effects as a consequence of migration, we mean the sudden outburst of persecutory delirium with emphasis on perceived discrimination in subjects with no history of psychosis and no show of other positive psychotic symptoms (Nelson, Fusar-Poli, & Yung, 2012). Being able to recognize an UHR for psychosis in immigrant adolescents is therefore useful to implement preventive interventions and reduce the risk of developing psychosis in adulthood.

Recognized risk factors for the development of psychosis in immigrants are: immigration occurred in developmental age, i.e. childhood or adolescence (Velting & Susser, 2011; Bomba, Riva, Capelli, Neri, & Nacinovich, 2017), separation or loss of parents or relatives (Morgan et al., 2007; Bourque, van der Ven, Fusar-Poli, & Malla, 2012), perceived discrimination (Berg et. al, 2011), poor social support (Hefner & Heisenberg, 2009; Tonsing, Zimet, & Tse, 2012) and
bullying (Vieno, Santinello, Lenzi, Baldassari, & Mirandola, 2009).


Bullying is a serious issue that causes a wide range of short and long-term psychological problems in children who are victims of it (Rettew DC, Pawlowski S., 2016), but its consequences in immigrant adolescents are still poorly studied. To our knowledge, only one previously published research described an increased risk to experience bullying victimization in immigrant adolescents than natives native-born youth. Furthermore, immigrant youth who experienced bullying victimization were more likely to report interpersonal, socio-emotional, health, and substance abuse problems (Maynard, Vaughn, Salas-Wright, & Vaughn, 2016).

The purpose of our study is to identify, in a clinical sample of immigrant adolescents, the presence of Ultra-High-Risk traits for psychosis (UHR) and then to analyze the relevance and the interaction between UHR and experience of bullying victimization and low social support. In this manuscript, the preliminary data of the study on a sample of 31 adolescents are described.

2. Method
2.1 Participants

Data were collected from the medical records of 50 immigrant adolescents, inpatients and outpatients at the Child and Adolescent Mental Health Department - S. Gerardo Hospital, ASST Monza – University of Milano Bicocca (Milano, Italy) between January 2016 and June 2016. The purpose of the study was adequately communicated to both participants and their parents and a written informed consent to participate was obtained. The research was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of S. Gerardo Hospital -ASST Monza.

We included in our sample only subjects with a psychiatric diagnosis and at least one foreign-born parent. 15 subjects with a neurological diagnosis or a history of adoption or fostering and 4 subjects with missed information in the medical records were excluded from the analysis.

The final sample is constituted of 31 immigrant adolescents (mean age: 14.74 years, SD 1.39), 20 females (64.5%) and 11 males (35.5%). 14 adolescents (45.2%) were first-generation subjects, 17 (54.8%) second generation ones and / or children of mixed couples. In medical history 10 subjects (32.3%) told to be victims of bullying. Country of origin of parents’ sample group are summarized in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Country of origin of parents’ sample group](image-url)
2.2 Measures

2.2.1 Comprehensive Assessment of At Risk Mental States (CAARMS)

The CAARMS is a semi-structured interview that has two functions: (i) to assess psychopathology thought to indicate imminent development of a first-episode psychotic disorder; and (ii) to determine if an individual meets criteria for being at ultra high risk (UHR) for onset of first psychotic disorder (Yung, Phillips, Yuen, & McGorry, 2006). In our research we used the Italian Version of the test (Fusar-Poli, Hobson, Raduelli & Balottin U, 2012).

2.2.2 Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support Assessment (MSPSS)

The MSPSS (Zimet, Dahlem, Zimet & Farley 1988) is a scale consisting of 12 items which identify three specific dimensions, each composed of 4 item (Family, Friends, Significant Others), for the evaluation of the subjective perception of social support received. Each dimension measure both the global support and the emotional support received by each subject. The 12-items are evaluated through a Likert scale with a score from 1 ("very much disagree") to 7 ("very much agree"); the final score is obtained by calculating the total average (MSPSS TOT) of 12 items, and the average of the scores divided into 3 dimensions, Family (MSPSS_FAM), friends (MSPSS_FRI), and other significant (MSPSS_SO). The total average (MSPSS TOT) describes the social support perceived by the subject into three categories: high, moderate, low.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) and they were analyzed through the ANOVA test. Categorical variables are reported as percentages of the total number. For the categorical variables a descriptive analysis of the distributions was carried out by means of contingency tables and the p-value of frequencies comparison was evaluated through Pearson Chi-square test. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to evaluate the influence of more dependent variables on an independent variable. Simple logistic regressions were used to explore associations between variables and outcomes. The significance level was set at p< 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 20.0 package.

3. Results

Results at CAARMS are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UHR N* (%)</th>
<th>NOT UHR N* (%)</th>
<th>TOT N*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAARMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuated form of psychosis</td>
<td>14 (45.2%)</td>
<td>17 (54.8%)</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULLYING VICTIM</td>
<td>8 (57.1%)</td>
<td>2 (11.4%)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aN= numbers; UHR=Ultra High Risk for Psychosis

14 subjects (45.2%) result at high risk for psychosis (Ultra High Risk, UHR), and in particular 11 (35.9%) at the time of the evaluation have an attenuated form of psychosis and 3 (9.7%) a vulnerability to psychosis. The remaining 17 subjects (54.8%), do not present at the time of the evaluation criteria for an increased risk of developing psychosis. Comparing the two groups (UHR and not UHR) in relation to the age, the typology of immigration and the countries of origin no significant differences emerged. Amongst the observed variables, in the subgroup UHR the 57.1% of the subjects have a positive anamnesis for being victims of bullying, while only the 11.8% of not-UHR subgroup. This difference observed in the two subgroups is statistically significant (p = 0.007). These results means that the 80% of subjects of the sample that were bullying victim belong to the UHR subgroup.

The MSPSS, filled out by all the participants, outlined that 17.2% of the subjects declared that they received a low social support, the 58.6% an average support, and 24.1% a high social support.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA, see Table 2) was used to determine statistical differences between the two subgroups (UHR vs NOT UHR), considering the scores obtained in the MSPSS tests.
Table 2. Differences between the two subgroups (UHR vs NOT UHR) in MSPSS scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UHR (mean, S.D.)</th>
<th>NOT UHR (mean, S.D.)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSPSS_Pt a</td>
<td>53.62 (12.61)</td>
<td>63.06 (9.01)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSPSS_FAM b</td>
<td>17.38 (8.57)</td>
<td>22.50 (5.12)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSPSS_FRI c</td>
<td>14.94 (8.93)</td>
<td>20.75 (5.66)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MSPSS_Pt = patients’ social support perceived Total score; b MSPSS_FAM = patients’ social support perceived from Family; c MSPSS_FRI = patients’ social support perceived from Friends. S.D.: standard deviation

Statistically significant differences between UHR and NOT UHR group considering low perceived social support (MSPSS TOTAL scale p=0.026; MSPSS_FRIENDS p 0.042; MSPSS_FAMILY p=0.057) emerged.

A fixed variable “bullying victim or not bullying victim” was applied to carry out an analysis of the correlations through the Spearman nonparametric test. The results show a direct correlation between bullying victimization (ρ = 0.431) and risk of psychosis and an indirect correlation between the MSPSS total score (ρ = -0.273) and the UHR. The same results were used as independent variables in a multiple linear regression considering the UHR as a dependent variable (Table 3).

Table 3. Multiple Linear Regression in UHR group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSPSS_Pt</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullying</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MSPSS_Pt = patients’ social support perceived Total score. SE := standard error.

The analysis confirmed that both the variables are statistically significant (R square = 0.29, p value = 0.0082) and that they contribute, with a prevalence of the variable "bullying victim", to the prediction of the risk of psychosis.

4. Discussion

The preliminary data of our work suggest a strong connection between bullying victimization, low social support and psychosis in a sample of immigrant children. To our knowledge, this is the first study conducted on a clinical sample that investigates, in immigrant adolescents, relations between these three aspects.

In our study, the 45.2% of the sample results at high risk for psychosis and the 57.1% of these subjects have a positive anamnesis for being victims of bullying. Immigrants, proper of their condition of immigration, are often marginalized and discriminated and in consequence of this, have a higher risk to become victims of bullying (Maynard, Vaughn, Salas-Wright, & Vaughn, 2016). Despite these considerations, results of researches that have investigated relations between immigration status and bullying victimization are controversial. In fact if some researches describe that immigrant youths are more likely to be bullied than native-peers (Bjereld Y, Daneback, & Petzold, 2015; Maynard, Vaughn, Salas-Wright, & Vaughn, 2016), others researches do not confirm these data (McKenne, Pepler, Craig, & Connolly, 2006). However Maynard et at. (2016), in their study on a large population of School-Aged Children, also indicate that immigrant youth who experience bullying victimization were more likely to report interpersonal, socio-emotional, health and substance use problems.

When not focusing on immigrant children, scientific literature mostly agrees in considering bullying as one of the most relevant factor in childhood and adolescence for the development of emotional and behavioral problems as anxiety and depression (Moore et al, 2017) and for an increased risk of suicides (Kim YS & Leventhal B., 2008). Furthermore, in recent years, studies outlined also the relationship between childhood adversity (included bullying victimization) and the onset of psychotic symptoms in children and adolescents (Horrevorts, Monshouwer, Wigman, & Vollerbergh, 2014; Lindgren, 2017). Such relationship, as outlined in the introduction, is quite relevant in the immigrant population considering that they have an increased risk to develop psychosis than non-immigrants.

When focusing on the perceived social support, the statistically significant differences between ultra-high risk and not ultra-high risk group can be interpreted as showing that the condition of being ultra-high risk for psychosis correlates to lower perceived social supports. This hypothesis is confirmed by data of literature that recognize the role of social support in improving the outcome of psychotic symptoms in adolescents (Wang L, Shi J, Chen F, Yao Y, Zhan C, Yin X, Fang X, Wang H, Yuan J, Zhao X., 2015).
Finally results of the multiple linear regression considering ultra-high risk for psychosis as a dependent variable showed that both the variables (bullying and low social support) are statistically significant and that they both contribute, with a prevalence of the variable "bullying victim", to the prediction of the risk of psychosis in our clinical sample of immigrant adolescents.

5. Conclusions
The originality of our study is to have analyzed, in a clinical sample of immigrant adolescents, how bullying victimization and low social support together contribute in developing UHR for psychosis. These results, if confirmed in further analysis in a larger sample and in comparison to a control group of native-peers, need to be taken into strong consideration for risk assessment procedures and prevention based policies directed towards population.
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