RedFame

International Journal of English and Cultural Studies
Vol. 9, No. 1; May 2026
ISSN 2575-811X E-ISSN 2575-8101
Published by Redfame Publishing
URL: http://ijecs.redfame.com

Authenticity Beyond Texts: A Three-Stage Process Model for English Language Teaching

Mehran Esfandiari[1], Mir Wais Gawhary[1]

[1] Defense Language Institute Foreign Language Center, Monterey, California, the United States

Correspondence: Mehran Esfandiari, Defense Language Institute Foreign Language Center, Monterey, California, the United States.

Received: February 3, 2026 Accepted: March 3, 2026 Online Published: March 3, 2026

doi:10.11114/ijecs.v9i1.8437 URL: https://doi.org/10.11114/ijecs.v9i1.8437

Abstract

The concept of authenticity has long occupied a central position in English Language Teaching (ELT), particularly within Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), where the use of authentic materials is viewed as essential to meaningful language learning. While traditional approaches prioritized simplified and pedagogically modified input, contemporary perspectives emphasize learners' exposure to language as it occurs in real-world contexts. Building on this shift, the present paper critically revisits the notion of authenticity, arguing against its treatment as a fixed property of instructional materials. Instead, authenticity is conceptualized as a relational and dynamic process that emerges through learners' engagement with texts. Drawing on developments in learner-centered pedagogy and technology-mediated learning environments, the paper proposes a three-stage framework of authenticity comprising interaction with the text, decoding and interpretation of meaning, and the production of contextually appropriate and genuinely communicative responses. This reconceptualization aims to offer a more nuanced understanding of authenticity and to inform the principled integration of authentic materials into task design in contemporary ELT contexts.

Keywords: authentic materials, authenticity, learner-centered pedagogy, meaning-making process

1. Introduction

With the pedagogical shift from traditional methodologies toward more learner-centered approaches in English language teaching, the notion of authenticity has assumed a central role, particularly within CLT. This paper presents a theoretical study that develops a conceptual framework rather than reporting empirical findings. This shift has generated increasing interest in the integration of authentic materials in the field of ELT. Whereas traditional approaches aimed to facilitate language acquisition through the use of simplified and pedagogically modified input, contemporary perspectives emphasize exposure to authentic language—namely, language as it occurs in real-life contexts (Widdowson, 1990). Authentic materials are defined as texts produced for native speakers rather than for instructional purposes and therefore contain naturally occurring language (Wallace, 1992). By introducing such materials into the classroom, elements of real-world communication are incorporated into the learning environment, allowing learners to engage with language in ways comparable to native speakers (Guariento & Morley, 2001). Consequently, authentic materials are argued to enhance learners' confidence while enabling them to address linguistic challenges more effectively beyond the classroom setting.

Rather than viewing authenticity as an inherent or absolute characteristic of instructional materials, it is more accurately conceptualized as a relational construct that emerges through the interaction between learners and textual input. From this perspective, authenticity is realized through learners' meaningful engagement with texts and their contextually appropriate responses. Instead of learning about English as an abstract linguistic system, learners acquire the language by using English as a medium for communication, which enables the contextual validation of linguistic knowledge. Nevertheless, the pedagogical use of authentic materials necessitates careful attention to contextualization, task authenticity, and the principled integration of genuine texts into instructional design. Notably, developments in modern technology have substantially reshaped this process. Advances in digital technologies—particularly the Internet—have afforded learners unprecedented access to a wide range of online authentic materials, facilitating purposeful participation in broader discourse communities. Against this backdrop, the present paper argues that authenticity should be revisited not as a static notion of textual genuineness but as a dynamic, three-stage process involving learners' interaction with

texts, the decoding and interpretation of meaning, and the production of contextually appropriate and genuinely communicative responses.

2. Reconceptualizing Authenticity in ELT

The term *authenticity* is conventionally defined in dictionaries as the quality of being genuine, real, or natural. However, its application within ELT presents a more complex challenge. Historically, research on authenticity was largely confined to textual analysis (Swaffar, 1985), and in applied linguistics, its significance emerged in the mid-1960s when scholars began questioning whether language learners were sufficiently exposed to texts that accurately reflected the target language (Wong et al., 1995). During this period, authenticity was largely understood in simplistic terms, differentiating texts derived from real-life contexts from those specifically authored for pedagogical purposes (Lewkowicz, 2000). A text was deemed authentic if it had been "created to fulfill some social purpose in the language community in which it was produced" (Little, Devitt, & Singleton, 1989, p. 25). In essence, authentic texts were those intended for native speakers rather than learners, and they were designed for genuine communicative functions rather than instructional objectives (Nunan, 1988; Wallace, 1992; Lee, 1995; Peacock, 1997).

The late 1970s witnessed a pivotal shift in the conceptualization of authenticity, initiating both theoretical debates and practical reconsiderations of its pedagogical relevance. Widdowson was particularly influential in this reconceptualization, distinguishing between *genuineness* and *authenticity*. He posited that while genuineness constitutes an intrinsic property of the text itself, authenticity emerges from the relationship between the text and the reader, encompassing the appropriateness of the learner's response (Widdowson, 1978). This perspective emphasized that the true locus of authenticity lies not merely in the text but in the dynamic interaction between learners and textual input (Widdowson, 1990). Accordingly, "authenticity lies in the nature of the interaction between the reader (or hearer) and the text" (Dudley-Evans & St. John, 1998, p. 28).

It is crucial to recognize that authenticity extends beyond the mere selection of genuine texts (Van Lier, 1996). Materials that are objectively authentic may nevertheless appear inauthentic to learners, depending on the manner in which they are presented. To address this, Lee (1995) introduced the concept of *learner authenticity*, highlighting the relational interaction between learners and input materials in terms of eliciting appropriate responses. Unlike the notion of genuineness, which is fixed and absolute, this approach frames authenticity as contingent upon the learner's engagement and experience. As Clarke (1989, p. 36) observes, "the notion of authenticity itself has become increasingly relative, being increasingly related to specific learner needs [and context] and less and less concerned with the authentic nature of the input materials themselves." In this sense, authenticity is increasingly shaped by learners' psychological dispositions and experiential backgrounds rather than by the intrinsic qualities of the texts (Amor, 2002; Fiske & Hartley, 2003). Consequently, social interaction and active language use are central to constructing a learner-centered sense of authenticity.

3. Interaction with Texts: Learner Engagement in Authentic Activities

In the initial stage of authentic language engagement, learners assume the role of active participants, interacting with input materials in ways that closely approximate real-world experiences. Rather than being passive recipients of knowledge, learners engage dynamically with texts, negotiating meaning, identifying patterns, and drawing inferences, all of which facilitate deeper cognitive and linguistic processing (Ellis, 2003). This engagement can take multiple forms, including reading authentic newspaper articles, listening to podcasts, analyzing videos, or navigating social media content in the target language. Such materials expose learners to a range of linguistic registers, stylistic variations, and discourse conventions that are often absent from simplified or pedagogically modified texts.

A critical distinction exists between authentic communication tasks and language learning tasks. An authentic communication task "expects an authentic communicative response or authentic language-using behavior on the part of the learner" (Breen, 1985, p. 65). These tasks immerse learners in communicative acts that simulate real-life target situations, requiring them to negotiate meaning, respond spontaneously, and draw on contextual cues. By contrast, language learning tasks, while potentially meaningful, often focus primarily on linguistic form and structure, directing learners' attention to vocabulary, grammar, or phonological patterns.

In practice, interaction with texts can be structured around activities that mirror professional, social, or academic contexts. For instance, learners analyzing a news article may extract key information, summarize events, and compare perspectives across sources, thereby exercising critical thinking alongside language skills. Podcasts or video blogs can be used to practice note-taking, inferential reasoning, and listening for implicit meaning, while social media threads provide opportunities to observe informal language, slang, and culturally specific expressions. Learners can also engage in interactive online exercises, such as commenting on authentic blogs or participating in moderated discussion forums, which combine comprehension with expressive practice.

Collaborative tasks further enhance engagement, requiring learners to negotiate meaning, resolve misunderstandings, and co-construct knowledge. Role plays, simulations, and digital breakout sessions allow learners to assume real-world identities—such as journalists, customer service agents, or researchers—where they must respond authentically to unpredictable scenarios. These activities not only strengthen language competence but also promote learner autonomy, metacognitive awareness, and strategic communication.

4. Decoding and Interpretation: Cognitive and Social Processing

The second stage—decoding and interpretation—entails learners' active cognitive and social processing of authentic texts. Learners extract explicit information, infer meaning, discern pragmatic intent, and recognize socio-cultural nuances embedded within discourse. Authentic tasks often present ambiguity, multi-layered content, and implicit norms, requiring learners to engage in higher-order thinking, including analysis, synthesis, and evaluation (Herrington, Oliver, & Reeves, 2003).

Social interaction is integral to this stage. Learners' understanding of texts is co-constructed through dialogue, peer feedback, and collaborative problem-solving. For example, interpreting an op-ed article may involve considering the author's purpose, cultural assumptions, rhetorical techniques, and the broader socio-political context. Engaging learners in debates, collaborative annotations, or online discussion boards allows them to compare interpretations, justify reasoning, and adjust their perspectives based on peer input. Such activities mirror the negotiation of meaning that occurs in real-world communicative communities.

Effective decoding also involves sensitivity to cultural context. Learners must recognize culturally bound references, idiomatic expressions, humor, and politeness strategies to fully grasp intended meaning. For instance, analyzing authentic emails from a professional setting can reveal implicit expectations of tone, hierarchy, and formality, while reviewing social media content highlights the interplay of language and identity in informal contexts. Teachers can scaffold these experiences with guiding questions, glossaries, or reflective prompts to help learners navigate unfamiliar content while maintaining engagement.

Furthermore, the interplay between genuineness and relational authenticity becomes especially salient here. Tasks grounded in genuine materials anchor learners' cognitive efforts in socio-cultural and communicative realities (Amor, 2002). Technology enhances this process by providing learners with interactive tools for annotation, translation, discussion, and collaborative content analysis. Digital corpora, language learning apps, and online platforms allow learners to cross-reference sources, identify patterns, and validate interpretations, creating a rich environment for critical thinking, cultural literacy, and language acquisition.

5. Production of Contextually Appropriate Responses: From Engagement to Communication

The final stage involves learners' generation of contextually appropriate, genuinely communicative responses. At this point, learners move beyond comprehension and analysis to active participation in meaningful exchanges, demonstrating their ability to use language as a functional tool for real-world interaction. This stage emphasizes applying linguistic knowledge in authentic contexts, ensuring responses reflect communicative norms, cultural expectations, and situational appropriateness.

Authentic response production can take multiple forms. For example, learners might compose emails or letters, deliver presentations, participate in debates, or create digital content such as blogs, podcasts, or videos. These tasks encourage learners to integrate vocabulary, grammar, and pragmatic strategies in ways that mirror actual communicative practice. For instance, designing a marketing proposal in English requires learners to adopt persuasive language, structure arguments logically, and consider audience expectations, thus reinforcing language learning through purposeful use.

Technology greatly enhances opportunities for authentic production. Digital platforms allow learners to interact with real audiences, including peers, instructors, and native speakers worldwide. Online collaborative tools, such as Google Docs or discussion forums, facilitate joint writing and editing tasks, while video conferencing enables live communication practice across contexts. Learners can also engage with virtual simulations, language exchange apps, or social media interactions, providing exposure to diverse communicative styles, registers, and cultural perspectives. Multimodal production—combining written, audio, and visual elements—further reflects contemporary communicative practices, encouraging learners to develop holistic language skills.

By synthesizing comprehension, critical interpretation, and communicative production, learners achieve the full cycle of authentic language engagement. The three-stage model—interaction with texts, cognitive processing, and contextually appropriate response—provides a structured framework that emphasizes both linguistic and pragmatic competence. Integrating authentic materials and technology within this model enables learner-centered pedagogy, preparing students for participation in both local and global discourse communities and fostering meaningful, lifelong engagement with the target language.

6. Authenticity as a Process: From Textual Genuineness to Situated Meaning-Making

The preceding sections have examined authenticity through three interrelated stages—interaction with texts, decoding and interpretation, and the production of contextually appropriate responses. Taken together, these stages point toward a reconceptualization of authenticity not as a static attribute of instructional materials, but as a dynamic process that unfolds through learners' engagement with language in use. This process-oriented view shifts the analytical focus away from the ontological status of texts and toward the conditions under which meaning is constructed, negotiated, and enacted in context.

Conceptualizing authenticity as a process foregrounds the learner as an active agent in meaning-making. Rather than treating authentic materials as inherently valuable due to their origin or intended audience, this perspective emphasizes what learners do with texts and how they mobilize linguistic, cognitive, and socio-cultural resources to participate in communicative activity. Authenticity, in this sense, is not guaranteed by the mere presence of genuine materials but emerges through sustained interaction, interpretation, and response within situated tasks. This aligns with views that locate authenticity in the relationship between text, task, and learner, rather than in the text alone.

The three-stage framework proposed in this paper illustrates how authenticity is gradually constructed. Initial interaction with authentic texts provides exposure to naturally occurring language and discourse conventions, but such exposure alone remains insufficient. Authenticity becomes pedagogically meaningful only when learners engage in decoding and interpretation that require attention to context, purpose, and pragmatic intent. This interpretive work is inherently social and dialogic, shaped by learners' prior experiences and mediated through interaction with others. Finally, authenticity is realized most fully when learners produce responses that are appropriate to the communicative situation, reflecting not only linguistic accuracy but also sensitivity to audience, genre, and cultural norms.

Importantly, viewing authenticity as a process allows for greater pedagogical flexibility. It accommodates varying proficiency levels by recognizing that learners may participate in different stages of the process to differing degrees, without reducing authenticity to an all-or-nothing condition. It also reframes task design as a matter of sequencing and scaffolding experiences that support movement across stages, rather than simply selecting "authentic" texts. Within this framework, technology functions not merely as a delivery mechanism for materials but as a mediational tool that supports interaction, interpretation, and communicative production across contexts.

By emphasizing process over product, this reconceptualization resolves longstanding tensions in the authenticity debate—particularly the dichotomy between genuine and pedagogical texts—by demonstrating that authenticity is ultimately realized through learner engagement in meaningful communicative activity. What matters, therefore, is not whether a text was originally created for native speakers, but whether learners are afforded opportunities to interact with it, interpret it purposefully, and respond in ways that mirror real-world language use.

7. Conclusion

This paper has argued for a reconsideration of authenticity in English Language Teaching by shifting the focus from the genuineness of texts to the processes through which learners engage with language in context. While traditional accounts of authenticity have often privileged the origin and intended audience of materials, such perspectives risk oversimplifying a concept that is inherently relational, dynamic, and learner-dependent. Drawing on developments in learner-centered pedagogy and technology-mediated learning, the paper has proposed a three-stage framework through which authenticity can be more productively understood and operationalized.

By conceptualizing authenticity as a process comprising interaction with texts, decoding and interpretation of meaning, and the production of contextually appropriate responses, the paper highlights the central role of learners' active participation in constructing meaning. This framework underscores that authenticity is not a fixed property embedded in materials but an outcome of engagement, interpretation, and communicative action. As such, authentic learning experiences are shaped as much by task design, social interaction, and contextual relevance as by the texts themselves.

The implications of this reconceptualization are significant for both theory and practice. Pedagogically, it encourages teachers and material designers to move beyond surface-level notions of authenticity and to focus instead on creating conditions that support meaningful interaction and situated communication. Theoretically, it contributes to ongoing debates by offering a coherent process-oriented model that integrates textual, cognitive, and social dimensions of language use. In contemporary ELT contexts—particularly those enriched by digital technologies—such an approach provides a principled basis for integrating authentic materials in ways that are pedagogically sound, contextually responsive, and aligned with learners' communicative needs.

Ultimately, revisiting authenticity as a process rather than a product allows for a more nuanced and flexible understanding of how learners come to use language meaningfully. By centering authenticity in what learners do with language, rather than in the inherent qualities of texts, this perspective reaffirms the fundamentally communicative purpose of language

learning and supports learners' participation in real-world discourse communities beyond the classroom. Future research could test the proposed three-stage model through empirical investigation using classroom-based data.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Both authors, Mehran Esfandiari and Mirwais Gawhary, read and approved the final manuscript, and they contributed equally to the study.

Funding

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Not applicable.

Informed consent

Obtained.

Ethics approval

The Publication Ethics Committee of the Redfame Publishing.

The journal's policies adhere to the Core Practices established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Open access

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

References

Amor, S. (2002). *Authenticity and Authentication in Language Learning.* Bern, Switzerland: Peter Lang D. Retrieved March 3, 2019, from https://www.peterlang.com/view/title/30840

Breen, M. P. (1985). Authenticity in the language classroom. *Applied Linguistics, 6*(1), 60-70. https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/6.1.60

Clarke, D. F. (1989). Communicative theory and its influence on materials production. *Language Teaching 22*(2), 73-86. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444800014592

Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M. J. (1998). *Developments in English for specific purposes: A multidisciplinary approach. Cambridge:* Cambridge University Press.

Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Fiske, J., & Hartley, J. (2003). *Reading television*. London: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203356623

Guariento, W., & Morley, J. (2001). Text and task authenticity in the EFL classroom. *ELT Journal, 55(*4), 347-353. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/55.4.347

Herrington, J., Oliver, R., & Reeves, T. C. (2003). Patterns of engagement in authentic online learning environment. *Australian Journal of Educational Technology*, *19(*1), 59-71. https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.1701

Lee, W. (1995). Authenticity revisited: Text authenticity and learner authenticity. *ELT Journal, 49*(4), 323-328. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/49.4.323

Lewkowicz, J. A. (2000). Authenticity in language testing: Some outstanding questions. *Language Testing 17*, 43-64. https://doi.org/10.1177/026553220001700102

Little, D., Devitt, S., & Singleton, D. (1989). *Learning Foreign Languages from Authentic Texts*: *Theory and practice*. Dublin: Authentik Language Learning Resources Ltd.

Nunan, D. (1988). *The learner-centered curriculum.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524506

Peacock, M. (1997). The effect of authentic materials in the motivation of EFL learners. *ELT Journal, 51*, 2, 144-156. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/51.2.144

Swaffar, J. (1985). Reading authentic texts in a foreign language: A cognitive model. *The Modern Language Journal, 69(*1), 16-32. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.1985.tb02521.x

Van Lier, L. (1996). *Interaction in the language curriculum: Awareness, autonomy and authenticity*. London: Longman.

Wallace, C. (1992). *Reading*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Widdowson, H. (1978). *Teaching language as communication*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Widdowson, H. (1990). *Aspects of language teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Wong, V., Kwok, P., & Choi, N. (1995). The use of authentic materials at tertiary level. *ELT Journal, 49(*4), 318-322. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/49.4.318