Applied Economics and Finance; Vol. 12, No. 2; 2025
ISSN 2332-7294 E-ISSN 2332-7308
Published by Redfame Publishing

DSGE Models: Practical Methodological Note and Recent Trends

Alfrânio Rodrigo Trescher[1], & Mathias Schneid Tessmann[1]

[1] Brazilian Institute of Education, Development and Research – IDP, Brazil

Correspondence: Mathias Schneid Tessmann, Brazilian Institute of Education, Development and Research – IDP, Brazil.

Received: February 28, 2025 Revised: March 27, 2025 Accepted: April 2, 2025

Available online: April 25, 2025 URL: https://doi.org/10.11114/aef.v12i2.7668

Abstract

This methodological note aims to present a brief yet comprehensive step-by-step guide for estimating Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium (DSGE) models and its recent trends. The first chapter introduces DSGE models to achieve this, discussing their theoretical foundations, historical evolution, and main applications. The second chapter explores the relationship between DSGE models and semi-structural models, highlighting their differences and complementarities in macroeconomic analysis. The third chapter presents the theoretical foundations for constructing these models, detailing the behavior of economic agents, general equilibrium mechanisms, and the modeling of stochastic shocks. The fourth chapter provides examples of the application of DSGE models in monetary and fiscal policy, analyzing the influence of different economic policy rules on macroeconomic behavior. Finally, the fifth chapter outlines the limitations of using DSGE models, including theoretical criticisms, difficulties in modeling economic crises, and challenges in parameter estimation. Thus, we aim to contribute to researchers, market professionals, and students who intend to use these models in their work.

Keywords: Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium, methodological note, guide to estimate, DSGE models

JEL Classification: C51, E32, E62, E52

1. Introduction to DSGE Models

This methodological note aims to present a practical guide for the use of DSGE models and their recent trends. DSGE (Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium) models represent a central approach in modern macroeconomics, providing a framework for analyzing the interactions between economic agents in a dynamic and shock-prone environment. Since their inception, these models have become indispensable tools for central banks and research institutions, combining microeconomic fundamentals with mathematical rigor.

DSGE models derive from a tradition that began with computable general equilibrium (CGE) models and the introduction of stochastic shocks into real business cycle (RBC) models, as proposed by Kydland and Prescott (1982). From these pioneering works, the focus shifted to include monetary policy shocks and nominal frictions, as illustrated in Christiano, Eichenbaum, and Evans (2005) and Smets and Wouters (2003). Kydland and Prescott (1982) introduced productivity shocks as determinants of business cycles. Smets and Wouters (2003) expanded the model to include nominal frictions and Bayesian estimation, integrating real data into DSGE models.

A DSGE model is built from the following basic elements:

- Rational Agents—Households maximize intertemporal utility, while firms maximize profits. As Lucas (1976) discussed, agents form rational expectations, ensuring consistency with the dynamics of the model.
- General Equilibrium - All markets (goods, labor, capital) are resolved simultaneously, ensuring that supply and demand are equal.
- Dynamics and Stochasticity - Economic shocks (such as technology, fiscal policy, or preferences) are modeled as autoregressive stochastic processes.

Main Features

- Microfoundations - DSGE models are based on microfoundations that connect individual decisions to aggregate equilibrium. This allows economic policies to be evaluated based on their direct impact on the behavior of agents.
- Exogenous Shocks - Stochastic shocks play a crucial role. For example:

Productivity Shocks:

$$A_t = \rho A_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \qquad \varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

Initially modeled by Kydland and Prescott (1982).

Monetary Shocks - Deviations from the Taylor rule, as explored by Smets and Wouters (2007).

- Nominal Frictions - The incorporation of price and wage rigidities, as in Calvo (1983), allows us to capture short-term fluctuations and the effects of monetary policies.

Practical Applications

- Monetary Policy - Central banks use DSGE models to predict the impact of interest rate changes on inflation and GDP. For example, the European Central Bank (ECB) has adopted the Smets and Wouters (2003) framework in its monetary policy reports.
- Shock Analysis - DSGE models help to decompose macroeconomic variations into components attributable to demand, supply, or economic policy shocks.
- Fiscal Sustainability - Simulations can assess the sustainability of public debt under different fiscal scenarios, as discussed in Gali (2008).

Limitations and Criticisms

Although widely used, DSGE models are not without their critics:

- Restrictive Assumptions - Perfect rationality and complete markets may be unrealistic. Criticisms by authors such as Stiglitz (2018) emphasize the exclusion of heterogeneous agents.
- Adaptation to Crises - Difficulty in modeling extreme events, such as financial crises or pandemics.

DSGE models represent a powerful synthesis of economic theory and quantitative methods. Despite their limitations, they continue to evolve, incorporating advances such as agent heterogeneity and machine learning.

2. DSGE and Semi-Structural Models: Evolution, Applications and Comparisons

Macroeconomic modeling has evolved significantly, with two main approaches emerging as pillars of economic analysis: DSGE (Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium) models and semi-structural models. This chapter explores the historical evolution of these methodologies, discusses their applications in different economic contexts, and compares their predictive capabilities, especially in financial crisis scenarios.

Semi-structural models emerged as a response to the need for practical analysis and economic forecasting. Built on empirical relationships, such as the IS-LM curve, they are widely used in central banks and international institutions. Classic example: IMF Global Model, which combines theoretical economic constraints with empirical adjustments to capture short-term economic fluctuations. Initial limitation: Lack of theoretical consistency and difficulties in dealing with structural shocks (Dieppe et al., 2012).

Fundamental Differences

Table 1. Construction and Structure

Aspect	Semi-Structural Models	DSGE Models
Theoretical Basis	Empirical, based on observed macroeconomic relationships.	Rigorous microfoundation.
Flexibility	High, allows adjustments to data.	Limited by microfoundations.
Objective	Short-term forecasts and empirical analysis.	Analysis of structural policies and shocks.

Practical Applications

- Semi-Structural Models
  a) Useful for quarterly GDP and inflation forecasts.
  b) The primary tool in central banks for monetary policy reporting.
- DSGE Models
  a) Applied in counterfactual simulations, such as the impact of fiscal or monetary shocks.
  b) Long-term analysis of structural policies.

Case Studies

Predictability in the Context of Financial Crises:

This study compares an estimated DSGE model with a semi-structural model during the 2008 crisis.

Specifications:

- Semi-Structural Model:

  a) Extended IS-LM curve including a Taylor rule for monetary policy.

  b) Incorporated dynamically adjusted credit spread data.

- DSGE Model:

  a) Included financial acceleration based on Bernanke, Gertler, and Gilchrist (1999).

  b) Estimated using output, inflation, and interest rate data.

Results:

- The semi-structural model provided accurate forecasts for GDP in the short term (3-6 months) due to the flexibility to quickly adjust to the data.

- The DSGE model excelled in analyzing structural shocks, identifying how credit spreads amplified the recession.

Detailed Empirical Comparisons

Study on Fiscal Multipliers

A study conducted by Blanchard and Perotti (2002) used semi-structural models to estimate fiscal multipliers, while recent studies, such as Gali (2015), employed DSGEs.

- Empirical Findings:

  a) The fiscal multipliers estimated by the semi-structural models varied between 0.5 and 1.5, depending on the methodology and the period analyzed.

  b) DSGEs better captured long-term effects, showing that fiscal stimulus can have negative impacts in economies with tight budget constraints.

Impact of Unconventional Monetary Policies:

After the 2008 crisis, monetary policies such as quantitative easing were analyzed using both approaches.

Semi-Structural Models:

- They identified positive short-term impacts on credit and inflation.

DSGE Models:

- Captured the long-term impact of policies, revealing potential risks of asset bubbles.

Integration of Approaches

Complementary Use:

Many central banks and institutions combine semi-structural models and DSGEs to get the best of both worlds:

Semi-Structural:

- Short-term forecast.
- Rapid response to non-structural shocks.

DSGEs:

- Structural policy assessment and counterfactual simulations.

Real Examples:

European Central Bank - The New Area-Wide Model (NAWM) integrates DSGE principles with semi-structural adjustments for improved forecasting of the euro area.

Federal Reserve - Combines a DSGE model for long-term analysis with the FRB/US model for short-term forecasting.

The comparative analysis between semi-structural models and DSGEs highlights their strengths and limitations. While semi-structural models are powerful tools for rapid, data-adjusted forecasts, DSGEs provide a robust theoretical basis for analyzing policies and long-term impacts. The integration of both approaches has proven effective in addressing the complex challenges of modern macroeconomics.

3. Theoretical Foundations of DSGE Models

DSGE (Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium) models are built on a solid theoretical basis that combines economic microfoundations, general equilibrium, and intertemporal dynamics. This chapter explores in detail the theoretical foundations of these models, highlighting the decisions of economic agents, stochastic shocks, and the concept of equilibrium.

3.1 Economic Microfoundations

Microfoundations ensure that DSGE models are consistent with economic theory by relying on the optimization decisions of households and firms. These foundations are essential for capturing the interactions between agents and macroeconomic dynamics.

3.1.1 Families

Households maximize their intertemporal utility U, represented by the discounted sum of utilities over time:

$$U = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(C_t L_t),$$

where:

- C_t: Consumption in the period t;
- L_t: Job offered during the period t;
- β: Intertemporal discount factor $(0 < \beta < 1)$;
- $u(C_t L_t)$: Utility function that captures the preference between consumption and leisure.

The household's intertemporal budget constraint is:

$$C_t + K_{t+1} = (1 + r_t)K_t + W_t L_t - T_t,$$

where:

- K_t: Capital stock;
- r_t: Return on capital;
- W_t: Real wage;
- T_t: Taxes paid to the government.

The first-order conditions result in the Euler equation for consumption:

$$u'(C_t) = \beta(1 + r_{t+1})u'(C_{t+1}),$$

which describes how households balance consumption between periods.

3.1.2 Companies

Firms maximize profits, subject to an aggregate production function such as the Cobb-Douglas function:

$$Y_t = A_t K_t^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha},$$

where:

- Y_t: Aggregate product;
- A_t: Total factor productivity;
- α: Elasticity of output concerning capital.

The profit maximization condition leads to the following optimal decisions:

- Demand for work: $W_t = (1-\alpha)A_t K_t^{\alpha} L_t^{-\alpha}$;
- Return on capital: $r_t = \alpha A_t K_t^{\alpha-1} L_t^{1-\alpha}$.

3.2 Dynamics and Stochasticity

3.2.1 Economic Shocks

Exogenous shocks are represented by stochastic processes that directly affect economic variables:

- Productivity Shocks:

$$A_t = \rho_A A_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^A \qquad \varepsilon_t^A \sim N(0, \sigma_A^2),$$

where ρ_A captures the persistence of the shock.

- Monetary Shocks: Unexpected Interest Rate Deviations:

$$i_t = \rho i_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^m,$$

3.2.2 Rational Expectations

Rational expectations ensure that agents use all available information to predict the future, adjusting their decisions accordingly. This is captured by differential equations with expectations, as:

$$E_t[u'(C_{t+1})(1 + r_{t+1})] = u'(C_t).$$

3.3 General Equilibrium

DSGE models seek a dynamic general equilibrium, where:

- Household consumption, work, and investment decisions are optimized.
- Firms maximize profits given market conditions.
- All markets balance, ensuring that supply and demand are equal:

$$C_t + I_t = Y_t,$$

where Y_t is the aggregate investment.

General equilibrium is calculated by solving a system of dynamic equations, representing the joint behavior of agents.

3.4 Theoretical Limitations

Although the theoretical foundations of DSGE models are robust, some limitations remain:

a. Representative Agents - The absence of heterogeneity can limit the analysis of inequalities.

b. Rational Expectations: - Models assume perfect rationality, which may be unrealistic in certain situations.

c. General Equilibrium: - Ignores possible temporary imbalances in specific markets.

DSGE models are based on intertemporal decisions of households and firms, with stochastic shocks affecting economic dynamics. General equilibrium ensures consistency across markets, while rational expectations connect current decisions to the expected future. Despite their theoretical elegance, the models face limitations in capturing the complexity of the real economy.

4. Monetary and Fiscal Policy in DSGE Models

DSGE (Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium) models are widely used to assess the impacts of economic policies in a dynamic and stochastic environment. This chapter examines how monetary and fiscal policies are modeled in these frameworks, highlighting the transmission mechanisms, the interaction between them, and empirical examples based on the literature.

4.1 Monetary Policy

Monetary policy plays a central role in DSGE models, often being represented by explicit rules that describe the central bank's response to changes in inflation, output, or other economic variables.

4.1.1 Taylor's rule

One of the most common approaches is the Taylor Rule (Taylor, 1993), which relates the nominal interest rate $i_t$with inflation π_t and product deviation y_t about the potential:

$$i_t = \rho i_{t-1} + (1 - \rho)\left[\phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y y_t\right] + \varepsilon_t^m,$$

where:

- ρ: Monetary policy inertia coefficient.
- ϕ_π: Interest rate sensitivity to inflation.
- ϕ_y: Sensitivity to product drift.
- ε_t^m: Monetary policy shock, modeled as $\varepsilon_t^m \sim N(0, \sigma_m^2)$.

4.1.2 Transmission Mechanisms

Monetary policy transmission channels include:

1. Interest Rate Channel: Changes in the nominal rate influence the cost of capital, affecting consumption and investment (Woodford, 2003).

2. Expectations Channel: Central bank credibility shapes future inflation expectations, which affect current decisions (Gali, 2008).

3. Credit Channel: Credit restrictions become less rigid when interest rates fall, increasing consumption (Bernanke, Gertler, and Gilchrist, 1999).

4.1.3 Optimal Monetary Policy

Central banks may seek to minimize a social loss function, such as:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_y (y_t - y_t^*)^2 + \lambda_\pi (\pi_t - \pi_t^*)^2\right],$$

where:

- y_t^*: Potential product.
- π_t^*: Inflation target.
- λ_y and λ_π: Relative weights assigned to output deviations and inflation.

4.2 Fiscal Policy

Fiscal policy in DSGE models captures the effects of decisions on public spending, taxation, and public debt.

4.2.1 Public Expenditure

Government spending, G_t, is often modeled as a stochastic process with persistence:

$$G_t = \rho_g G_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^g,$$

where $\varepsilon_t^g \sim N(0, \sigma_m^2)$.

4.2.2 Taxation

Taxation can be modeled in different ways:

1. Proportional Taxes: Revenue is a fraction of output, $T_t = \tau Y_t$, where τ is the tax rate.

2. Lump-Sum Taxes: A simplified approach where all agents pay the same flat amount.

4.2.3 Budget Constraints

The government faces an intertemporal budget constraint:

$$B_t = (1 + r_t) B_{t-1} + G_t - T_t$$

where:

- B_t: Public debt stock in the period t.
- r_t: Real interest rate.

4.2.4 Effects of Fiscal Shocks

Fiscal shocks can stimulate output in the short term, but their impact depends on the underlying fiscal rule (Gali, 2008):

- An increase in G_t can lead to positive multiplier effects, depending on nominal rigidity and marginal propensity to consume.

4.3 Interaction between Monetary and Fiscal Policies

The interaction between monetary and fiscal policies can be classified into two regimes:

1. Active Monetary and Passive Fiscal Policy:

   - Monetary policy stabilizes inflation while fiscal policy adjusts taxes to maintain debt sustainability.
   - Smets and Wouters (2007) emphasize that this regime is more common in advanced economies.

2. Active Fiscal and Passive Monetary Policy:

   - Fiscal policy leads, determining the debt trajectory, while monetary policy accommodates the inflationary equilibrium.

Interaction Model

DSGE models incorporate interaction through simultaneous equations that link the responses of interest rates and taxes to shocks.

4.4 Empirical Studies

1. Smets and Wouters (2003) - Estimated the effects of monetary and fiscal shocks in DSGE models for the euro area, highlighting the importance of active monetary policy.

2. Gali (2008) - Demonstrated that fiscal shocks can have positive effects on output, especially in periods of recession.

3. Bernanke, Gertler, and Gilchrist (1999) - Explore how credit shocks interact with monetary policies in a DSGE context.

Monetary policy is often shaped by explicit rules such as the Taylor Rule, while fiscal policy is based on budget constraints and fiscal multipliers. The interaction between these policies defines economic regimes and affects macroeconomic stability. Empirical studies highlight the usefulness of DSGE models for analyzing issues such as fiscal stimulus, debt sustainability, and responses to monetary shocks.

5. Bayesian Estimation and Solution Methods in DSGE Models

Solving and estimating DSGE models is a technical challenge that combines mathematical and computational methods. This chapter details the main solution methods, such as linearization and perturbation, and introduces Bayesian estimation, which integrates real data and a priori information to infer model parameters.

Solving a DSGE model involves deriving the dynamic trajectories of the endogenous variables in response to stochastic shocks. This requires transforming the model equations into a form that allows numerical or analytical solutions.

5.1.1 Linearization

Linearization is the most common method and consists of approximating the model around its steady state. For a model represented as $f(x_t, x_{t-1}, \epsilon_t) = 0$, first-order linearization generates:

$$\hat{x}_t = A\hat{x}_{t-1} + B\epsilon_{t,}$$

where $\hat{x}_t$ represents the variations around the steady state.

Advantages:

- Computational simplicity.
- Suitable for small-magnitude shocks.

Limitations:

- Ignores non-linear effects such as stochastic volatility or large magnitude shocks (Collard, 2001).

5.1.2 Perturbation Methods

Perturbation methods expand the solution to higher orders, such as second or third order. This is useful for capturing nonlinear effects and endogenous volatility:

$$x_t = x^* + \phi_{1\epsilon_t}\phi_{2\epsilon_t^2} + \cdots,$$

where ϕ_2 and higher terms introduce non-linear responses.

Applications:

- Models with stochastic volatility, such as Basu and Bundick (2017).
- Risk analysis and non-linear effects.

5.1.3 Simulation and Iteration

For complex models, iteration simulation is employed. Methods such as Value Function Iteration or Policy Function Iteration solve dynamic models with highly nonlinear constraints.

5.2 DSGE Model Estimation

Estimation allows DSGE models to be adjusted to real data, calibrating the parameters to maximize their predictive ability. The Bayesian approach is widely adopted due to its flexibility in incorporating a priori information.

5.2.1 Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Maximum likelihood is a classical technique that adjusts parameters to maximize the probability of the observed data, given the structure of the model. However, it can be sensitive to specification errors and initial values.

5.2.2 Bayesian Estimation

Bayesian estimation combines a priori information ($\rho(\theta)$) with the likelihood of the data $\rho(Y|\theta)$ to generate the posterior distribution:

$$\rho(\theta|Y) \propto \rho(Y|\theta)\rho(\theta),$$

where:

- θ: Parameter vector.

- Y: Observed data.

Bayesian Estimation Steps:

1. Definition of Priors:

Choose initial distributions for the parameters based on literature or prior knowledge. Example:

$$\phi_\pi \sim N(1.5, 0.2), \qquad \rho \sim Beta(0.8, 0.1).$$

2. Likelihood Calculation:

Using the Kalman Filter, the likelihood of the observed data is calculated iteratively.

3. MCMC Sampling:

Methods such as Metropolis-Hastings or No-U-Turn Sampler (NUTS) are used to explore the posterior distribution.

4. Results Analysis:

- Posterior distributions of parameters.
- Marginal likelihood for model comparison.

5.3 Practical Implementation

5.3.1 Dynare Usage

Dynare is a powerful tool for solving and estimating DSGE models. A basic example of Bayesian estimation in Dynare:

```
estimation(datafile='data.xlsx', mh_replic=20000, mh_nblocks=2, mh_jscale=0.8, bayesian_irf);
```

Results:

- IRFs from subsequent distributions.

- MCMC chain convergence diagnosis.

5.3.2 IRF Simulation

Impulse response functions (IRFs) are widely used to interpret the effects of shocks in models. From estimated parameters, IRFs show the dynamics of variables such as inflation, output, and interest rates.

5.3.3 Case Studies

1. Smets and Wouters (2003) - Estimation of a DSGE model for the euro area with multiple shocks.

2. Fernandez-Villaverde et al. (2006) - Using third-order perturbation methods to analyze stochastic volatility.

5.4 Challenges and Limitations

1. Parameter Identification - Some parameters may not be identified due to the structure of the model. Identification tests, such as those of Iskrev (2010), help diagnose this problem.

2. Numerical Problems - MCMC methods can be sensitive to the choice of initial values and the jump scale.

3. Fit to Data - Choosing observable variables is crucial. Poor specification can lead to misleading results.

Solution methods such as linearization and perturbation are fundamental to solving DSGE models. Bayesian estimation is widely used, allowing the incorporation of prior information and the fitting of models to real data. Tools such as Dynare and MATLAB simplify practical implementation, while empirical studies highlight the relevance of the models for macroeconomic analysis.

6. Analysis of Volatility and Economic Shocks in DSGE Models

Economic shocks and stochastic volatility are central elements in DSGE (Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium) models, allowing the exploration of macroeconomic dynamics and the response of economic variables to unexpected events. This chapter covers in detail the modeling, types of shocks, volatility effects, and interpretation of impulse response functions (IRFs), supported by the relevant literature.

6.1 Modeling Economic Shocks

Economic shocks in DSGE models are represented by stochastic variables that directly affect economic agents. Shock modeling plays a crucial role in capturing economic fluctuations.

6.1.1 General Structure of Shocks

A typical shock is modeled as a first-order autoregressive (AR(1)) process:

$$\epsilon_t = \rho\epsilon_{t-1} + u_t, \quad u_t \sim N(O, \sigma^2),$$

where:

- ρ: Persistence of shock;
- u_t: Stochastic innovation with norm distribution;
- σ^2: Shock variance.

This approach allows us to incorporate the persistence of shocks, such as those to productivity, monetary policy, or preferences.

6.1.2 Types of Shocks

1. Productivity Shocks:

   - Introduced by Kydland and Prescott (1982), these shocks affect technological efficiency:

$$A_t = \rho_A A_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^A \qquad \varepsilon_t^A \sim N(0, \sigma_A^2),$$

   - They are fundamental to explaining real economic cycles.

2. Monetary Policy Shocks:

   - Represent unexpected deviations in interest rate decisions:

$$i_t = \rho i_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^m$$

   - Smets and Wouters (2003) used these shocks to capture the effects of active monetary policies.

3. Fiscal Shocks:

   - Public spending or tax collection varies:

$$G_t = \rho_G G_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^G,$$

   - Gali (2008) highlighted its role in stimulating the economy during recessions.

4. Preference Shocks:

   - Affect household consumption and savings decisions:

$$u(C_t L_t) = \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \psi \frac{L_t^{1+n}}{1+\eta'}$$

   where changes in ψ change preferences between leisure and work.

6.2 Stochastic Volatility

Stochastic volatility describes the variation in the magnitude of shocks over time, adding a layer of complexity and realism to DSGE models.

6.2.1 Volatility Modeling

Stochastic volatility is often modeled as:

$$\sigma_t = \sigma_0 \exp(\eta_t), \quad \eta_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where:

- σ_t: Volatility in the period t;
- η_t: Volatility shock.

This approach allows for capturing periods of high and low uncertainty, such as financial crises.

6.2.2 Impact of Volatility

1. Shock Amplification:
   - In periods of high volatility, the effects of economic shocks are amplified.
   - Basu and Bundick (2017) showed that volatility shocks increase uncertainty and reduce consumption.
2. Non-linearity:
   - Stochastic volatility generates non-linear effects, affecting agents' intertemporal decisions.

6.2.3 Volatility and Economic Policy

- Policymakers can use stochastic volatility models to assess the impact of shocks in uncertain environments.
- Gali (2008) argues that periods of high volatility require more active fiscal policies.

6.3 Impulse Response Functions (IRFs)

IRFs are crucial tools for analyzing the dynamics of endogenous variables in response to exogenous shocks.

6.3.1 Interpretation of IRFs

- They represent the dynamic response of variables such as inflation (π_t) and product (Y_t) to a shock in $t = 0$.
- IRF example for a monetary policy shock:
  - Increase in interest rates reduces output and inflation in the short term.

6.3.2 Calculation Methods

1. First Order Linearization:
   - Used to obtain linear responses to shocks.
   - Suitable for small shocks.
2. Higher Order Disturbance:
   - Allows capturing non-linear effects and high-magnitude shocks.

6.3.3 Practical Examples

- Smets and Wouters (2007): IRFs show how inflation, output, and interest rates respond to monetary shocks.
- Basu and Bundick (2017): Volatility IRFs capture the impact of shocks in periods of high uncertainty.

6.4 Empirical Studies

1. Smets and Wouters (2003) - Estimated a DSGE model for the euro area and demonstrated that demand shocks explain a large part of short-term economic fluctuations.

2. Basu and Bundick (2017) - Demonstrated that volatility shocks significantly affect consumption and production, highlighting the importance of capturing economic uncertainty.

3. Kydland and Prescott (1982) - showed that productivity shocks are the main source of long-term economic fluctuations.

Economic shocks and stochastic volatility are essential elements in DSGE models, providing insights into macroeconomic fluctuations. Shock modeling allows exploring the impacts of unexpected events, while stochastic volatility adds complexity and realism. IRFs are indispensable tools for interpreting model dynamics. Empirical studies highlight the relevance of these elements for understanding and predicting economic cycles.

7. DSGE Models in Dynare: Structure and Implementation

Dynare is one of the most widely used tools for solving, estimating, and simulating DSGE (Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium) models. This chapter presents the basic components needed to implement a DSGE model in Dynare, with attention to minimum blocks, care in balancing equations and variables, specification of shocks, and calculation of the steady-state.

7.1 Basic Structure of a File in Dynare

A model file in Dynare, usually with a .mod extension, follows a modular structure. Each block has a specific purpose and must be defined correctly to avoid runtime errors.

Minimum Required Blocks

1. VAR block:

- List the endogenous variables of the model.
- Example:

```
var c k i y;
```

- Here, c (consumption), k (capital stock), i (investment) and y (product) are endogenous variables.

2. Varexo block:

- Lists exogenous variables, which generally represent shocks.
- Example:

```
varexo eps_a;
```

- In this case, ε_a it is an exogenous shock, like a productivity shock.

3. Parameters block:

- Defines the model parameters.
- Example:

```
parameters alpha beta delta;
```

4. Model block:

- Contains the equations of the model. There must be an exact correspondence between the number of equations and endogenous variables.
- Exemple:

```
model;
y = c + i;
c = beta*c(+1)*(1+r-delta);
i = k - (1-delta)*k(-1);
end;
```

5. Shocks block:

- Specifies the structure of stochastic shocks, including their variance.
- Example:

```
shocks;
var eps_a; stderr 0.01;
end;
```

6. Initval block (or steady_state_model):

- Sets the initial values or the steady-state calculation or provides initial conditions for variables.
- Example:

```
initval;
c = 1; k = 10; y = 5; i = 0.5;
end;
```

7.2 Be Careful with the Number of Equations and Variables

One of the most important checks when building a model in Dynare is to ensure that the number of equations equals the number of endogenous variables.

Common Mistakes

1. Underdetermined System:
   - The number of equations is smaller than the number of endogenous variables.
   - It can occur if an essential equation, such as the market equilibrium condition, is omitted.
2. Overdetermined System:
   - The number of equations exceeds the number of endogenous variables.
   - Indicates redundancy, often caused by modeling error, such as including two versions of the same equation.

Practical Tips

- Use the model_diagnostics command in Dynare to identify system issues.
- Check equilibrium conditions, such as the aggregate budget constraint, to ensure the model is closed correctly.

7.3 Shock Specification

Shocks represent the sources of uncertainty in DSGE models and are specified in the shocks block.

Basic Structure

Shocks generally follow an AR(1) process:

$$\epsilon_t = \rho \epsilon_{t-1} + u_t, \quad u_t \sim N(O, \sigma^2),$$

In Dynare:

```
shocks;
var eps_a; stderr 0.01; // Shock variance
end;
```

Correlated Shocks

To specify correlated shocks:

```
shocks;
var eps_a; stderr 0.01;
var eps_b; stderr 0.02;
var eps_a, eps_b = 0.5; // Covariance
end;
```

7.4 Steady-State Calculation

The steady-state is the long-term equilibrium point of the model, used as a reference for dynamic calculations.

Methods for Finding Steady-State

1. Initval block:
   - Sets estimated initial values:

```
initval;
c = 1; k = 10; y = 5; i = 0.5;
end;
```

2. steady_state_model block:
   - Uses analytical expressions to calculate the steady-state:

```
steady_state_model;
y = c + i;
c = y - delta*k;
end;
```

3. External Files:

- For complex models, you can use a MATLAB file that calculates the steady-state.

Common Steady-State Errors

- Jacobian Singular - Indicates that the model has redundancies or is missing an equation.
- Non-Existent Steady-State - Occurs when the initial values are very far from equilibrium.

7.5 Analysis and Simulation

Once the model is specified, Dynare provides tools for analysis and simulation.

Impulse Response Functions (IRFs)

IRFs show how endogenous variables respond to exogenous shocks. They are calculated automatically with:

```
stoch_simul(irf=10)
```

Bayesian Estimation

DSGE models can be fitted to data using the Bayesian approach. This requires a varobs block to define observed variables and the estimation command.

7.6 Practical Example: A Neoclassical Growth Model

Full Code

```
var c k y i A; % Endogenous variables
varexo eps_a;    % Exogenous shock
parameters alpha beta delta rho sigma; % Model parameters

% Parameter values
alpha = 0.3;
beta = 0.99;
delta = 0.025;
rho = 0.9;
sigma = 0.01;

model;
% Production function
y = A * k^alpha;

% Product identity
c + i = y;

% Capital dynamics
k = (1-delta)*k(-1) + i;

% Stochastic process for TFP
log(A) = rho * log(A(-1)) + eps_a;

% Adjusted Euler equation
1/c = beta * (1/c(+1)) * (1 + alpha * A(+1) * k(+1)^(alpha-1) - delta);
end;

% Calculated initial values for steady-state
initval;
k = ((alpha/(1/beta - (1-delta)))^(1/(1-alpha))); % Steady-state capital
A = 1; % Initial productivity level
y = A * k^alpha; % Output in steady-state
i = delta * k; % Investment in steady-state
c = y - i; % Consumption in steady-state
end;

shocks;
% Exogenous shock specification
var eps_a; stderr sigma;
end;
```

Results

- IRFs show the response of output and consumption to productivity shocks.
- The stability of the model is verified by the number of eigenvalues within the unit circle.

Modeling DSGEs in Dynare requires attention to structural details and model balancing. With the minimum building blocks (variables, parameters, equations, shocks, and initial conditions), it is possible to build and analyze robust models. Tools such as IRFs and simulations provide important insights into macroeconomic dynamics.

8: Case Studies and Practical Examples in DSGE Models

DSGE (Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium) models are widely used in practical applications to evaluate economic policies, analyze shocks, and forecast macroeconomic dynamics. This chapter presents detailed case studies and practical examples that illustrate how to implement and interpret these models, based on the literature and the use of tools such as Dynare and MATLAB.

8.1 Case Study 1: Monetary Policy Analysis with the Taylor Rule

8.1.1 Contextualization

Monetary policy is often assessed using the Taylor Rule (Taylor, 1993), which relates the nominal interest rate to inflation and the output gap. This case study explores how variations in the rule's coefficients affect macroeconomic stability.

8.1.2 Model

The model considers the following monetary policy rule:

$$i_t = \rho i_{t-1} + (1-\rho)\left[\phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y y_t\right] + \varepsilon_t^m,$$

where:

- i_t: Nominal interest rate;
- π_t: Inflation rate;
- y_t: Product deviation;
- ε_t^m: Monetary shock.

8.1.3 Implementation

Using Dynare, the model is solved with different values of ϕ_π (inflation sensitivity) and ϕ_y (product sensitivity). The basic code would be:

```
var pi y i; % Endogenous variables: inflation (pi), output gap (y), interest rate (i)
varexo eps_m; % Exogenous shock: monetary policy
parameters rho phi_pi phi_y beta kappa; % Parameter declaration

% Assignment of values to parameters
rho = 0.8; % Persistence of the Taylor rule
phi_pi = 1.5; % Sensitivity of the interest rate to inflation
phi_y = 0.5; % Sensitivity of the interest rate to the output gap
beta = 0.99; % Intertemporal discount factor
kappa = 0.3; % Phillips curve parameter
```

```
model;
% Taylor rule for monetary policy
i = rho*i(-1) + (1-rho)*(phi_pi*pi + phi_y*y) + eps_m;

% Phillips curve with future expectations
pi = beta*pi(+1) + kappa*y;

% IS equation: relationship between output gap and real interest rate
y = y(+1) - (i - pi(+1));
end;

shocks;
% Exogenous shock specification
var eps_m; stderr 0.01; % Monetary policy shock with standard deviation 0.01
end;

% Simulation and IRFs
stoch_simul(irf=10);
```

8.1.4 Results

The IRF (Impulse Response Function) graphs show that:

- High values of ϕ_π quickly stabilize inflation but can amplify output volatility.
- High values of ϕ_y reduce fluctuations in output but generate greater inflationary persistence.

8.2 Case Study 2: Impact of Fiscal Shocks

8.2.1 Contextualization

Fiscal shocks, such as increases in government spending, have important implications for output and welfare. This study explores the effects of a temporary increase in government spending.

8.2.2 Model

The model uses the following specifications for government spending:

$$G_t = \rho_G G_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^G,$$

where:

- G_t: Government spending;
- ρG: Persistence of public spending;
- ε_t^m: Spending shock.

The government's budget constraint is:

$$B_t = (1 + r_t)B_{t-1} + G_t - T_t$$

8.2.3 Implementation

With Dynare, the impact of the shock is analyzed. The code for simulation includes:

```
var y c g b r pi; // Endogenous variables
varexo eps_g; // Exogenous shock to government spending
parameters rho_g alpha beta tau phi_pi phi_y steady_r steady_pi; // Parameters

// Set parameter values
rho_g = 0.8; // Persistence of government spending
alpha = 0.3; // Sensitivity of consumption to the real interest rate
beta = 0.98; // Intertemporal discount factor
tau = 0.25; // Tax rate
phi_pi = 1.5; // Sensitivity of the interest rate to inflation
phi_y = 0.5; // Sensitivity of the interest rate to output
steady_r = 0.0; // Equilibrium interest rate
steady_pi = 0.0; // Equilibrium inflation

model; // Stochastic process for government spending
g = rho_g*g(-1) + eps_g;

// Aggregate output
y = c + g;

// Consumption function
c = beta*c(+1) - alpha*(r - pi(+1));

// Government budget constraint
b = (1 + r)*b(-1) + g - tau*y;

// Taylor rule
r = steady_r + phi_pi*(pi - steady_pi) + phi_y*y;

// Inflation (simplified equation)
pi = steady_pi + y;
end;

initval;
y = 1; c = 0.8; g = 0.2; b = 0.1; r = 0.1; pi = 0.1;
end;

shocks;
var eps_g; stderr 0.04; // Government spending shock
end;

stoch_simul(order=1, irf=20); // Stochastic simulation
```

8.2.4 Results

- Fiscal shock increases output in the short run.

- The persistence of spending (ρG) determines the magnitude of the long-term impact.

- Debt-to-GDP ratio could rise substantially depending on fiscal response.

8.3 Case Study 3: Stochastic Volatility and Economic Policy

8.3.1 Contextualization

This study evaluates how stochastic volatility affects the impact of economic shocks, based on the model of Basu and Bundick (2017).

8.3.2 Model

The volatility of shocks is modeled as:

$$\sigma_t = \sigma_0 \exp(\eta_t), \quad \eta_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where σ_t is the conditional volatility.

8.3.3 Implementation

Dynare allows you to model stochastic volatility with higher-order methods:

```
var y pi sigma; // Endogenous variables
varexo eps_sigma; // Exogenous shock
parameters beta sigma_0; // Parameters

// Setting parameter values
beta = 0.99; // Intertemporal discounting
sigma_0 = 1; // Initial volatility

model;
sigma = sigma_0*exp(eps_sigma); // Dynamic volatility
y = beta*y(+1) - (1/sigma)*pi(+1); // Output
pi = beta*pi(+1) + sigma*y; // Inflation
end;

initval;
y = 0; // Initial output
pi = 0; // Initial inflation
sigma = sigma_0; // Initial volatility
end;

shocks;
var eps_sigma; stderr 0.05; // Defining the shock in sigma
end;

steady; // Calculate the steady state
stoch_simul(order=1, irf=10); // IRF simulations
```

8.3.4 Results

- Periods of high volatility amplify the impacts of shocks.

- IRFs show that uncertainty reduces consumption and investment.

Case studies and practical examples demonstrate the versatility of DSGE models in analyzing economic policies and shocks. Computational tools such as Dynare allow for detailed simulations and clear visualizations, facilitating the interpretation of results and their use in real policies.

9. Extensions and Recent Developments in DSGE Models

DSGE (Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium) models have evolved significantly in recent decades, incorporating theoretical and computational advances to address complex macroeconomic issues. This chapter explores important extensions, including the introduction of heterogeneous agents, the modeling of financial frictions, and the use of artificial intelligence in DSGEs.

9.1 Models with Heterogeneous Agents

Traditional DSGE models assume a representative agent, which simplifies the analysis but ignores heterogeneity in consumption, labor, and savings decisions. The introduction of heterogeneous agents addresses these limitations.

9.1.1 HANK Models Fundamentals

HANK (Heterogeneous Agent New Keynesian) models combine heterogeneous agents with nominal frictions. They allow us to analyze the:

1. Income and Wealth Distribution:

- Credit-constrained agents respond differently to economic shocks.

- Kaplan, Moll, and Violante (2018) show that monetary shocks have more amplified effects when there is inequality.

2. Fiscal Multipliers:

- The effects of public spending vary according to the marginal propensity to consume agents.

9.1.2 Modeling

HANK models require the use of advanced numerical methods such as state discretization and iterative solution methods:

$$\int V(a,\epsilon)\psi(a,\epsilon)da = \sum_t \beta^t u(c_t, l_t),$$

where:

- a: Assets;
- ϵ: Idiosyncratic shocks;
- $\psi(a,\epsilon)$: Probability distribution.

9.2 Financial Frictions

Financial frictions play a central role in times of crisis, as demonstrated by the 2008 crisis. Incorporating these frictions improves the predictive ability of DSGE models.

9.2.1 The Bernanke, Gertler and Gilchrist Model

The model incorporates a financial acceleration mechanism, where economic shocks amplify the effects through credit restrictions:

$$I_t = \phi\left(\frac{Q_t}{K_t}\right),$$

where:

- I_t: Investment;
- Q_t: Market value of capital;
- K_t: Capital stock.

9.2.2 Recent Extensions

1. Spread Shocks:

- Gertler and Karadi (2011) introduced credit spread shocks to capture financial crises.

2. Incomplete Markets:

- Recent studies analyze the impact of incomplete financial markets on consumption and investment.

9.3 ZLB (Zero Lower Bound) Modeling

The ZLB occurs when nominal interest rates reach levels close to zero, limiting the effectiveness of conventional monetary policy.

9.3.1 Dynamics in ZLB

Once the ZLB is reached, monetary policy needs to be adjusted to avoid deflationary traps. Gali (2015) shows that the effectiveness of fiscal stimulus increases at the ZLB.

9.3.2 Numerical Solutions

Solving DSGE models with ZLB requires higher-order methods or global simulations:

- Third-order perturbation - Captures nonlinearities caused by ZLB.

- Policy Function Iteration - Models explicit constraints on monetary policy.

9.3.3 Empirical Studies

- Christiano, Eichenbaum, and Rebelo (2011) demonstrated that fiscal stimuli can be highly effective in the ZLB.

9.4 Stochastic Volatility and Uncertainty

Stochastic volatility has become a fundamental element in modern DSGEs, capturing economic uncertainty and its impacts.

9.4.1 Modeling

Volatility is modeled as:

$$\sigma_t = \sigma_0 \exp(\eta_t), \quad \eta_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where σ_t varies with specific shocks.

9.4.2 Impacts

1. Amplification of Shocks - Basu and Bundick (2017) show that uncertainty shocks reduce consumption and investment.

2. Nonlinear Responses - Models with stochastic volatility generate IRFs that capture nonlinear effects.

9.5 Artificial Intelligence and DSGEs

Recently, artificial intelligence (AI) methods have been introduced to improve the calibration, estimation, and solution of DSGEs.

9.5.1 AI Applications

1. Neural Networks - Deep neural networks are used to approximate policy functions and predict economic variables (Fornaro et al., 2020).

2. Genetic Algorithms - Optimize parameters that are difficult to identify, such as unobservable shocks.

9.5.2 Benefits

- Reduce computational costs in large models.

- Improve the accuracy of estimates with large volumes of data.

This chapter explored fundamental extensions of DSGE models, including the introduction of heterogeneous agents, financial frictions, and stochastic volatility. Recent developments, such as ZLB modeling and the use of artificial intelligence, have significantly expanded the applications of these models, allowing for richer analysis adapted to the complexities of modern economies.

Acknowledgments

We greatly appreciate the valuable contributions of our community advisory committee members.

Authors' contributions

Alfrânio Rodrigo Trescher and Mathias Schneid Tessmann were responsible for study design and revising.

Funding

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Informed consent

Obtained.

Ethics approval

The Publication Ethics Committee of the Redfame Publishing.

The journal's policies adhere to the Core Practices established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Open access

Copyrights

References

Basu, S., & Bundick, B. (2017). Uncertainty Shocks in a Model of Effective Demand. *Econometrica*. https://doi.org/10.3982/ECTA13960

Bernanke, B., Gertler, M., & Gilchrist, S. (1999). The Financial Accelerator in a Quantitative Business Cycle Framework. *Handbook of Macroeconomics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0048(99)10034-X

Blanchard, O., & Perotti, R. (2002). An Empirical Characterization of the Dynamic Effects of Changes in Government Spending and Taxes on Output. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1162/003355302320935043

Christiano, L., Eichenbaum, M., & Evans, C. (2005). Nominal Rigidities and the Dynamic Effects of a Shock to Monetary Policy. *Journal of Political Economy*. https://doi.org/10.1086/426038

Christiano, L., Eichenbaum, M., & Rebelo, S. (2011). When is the Government Spending Multiplier Large? *Journal of Political Economy*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1680616

Collard, F. (2001). Stochastic Simulations of DSGE Models. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*.

Dieppe, A., Pandiella, A. G., & Willman, A. (2012). The ECB's New Multi-Country Model for the euro area: NMCM—Simulated with rational expectations. *Economic Modelling, 29*(6), 2597-2614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2012.07.010

Dynare Team. (2021). *Dynare User Guide*. Available in: (https://www.dynare.org).

Fornaro, L. (2020). Machine Learning Meets DSGE Models: Applications and Insights. *NBER Working Paper*.

Gali, J. (2008). *Monetary Policy, Inflation, and the Business Cycle*. Princeton University Press.

Gali, J. (2015). *Monetary Policy, Inflation, and the Business Cycle*. Princeton University Press.

Gertler, M., & Karadi, P. (2011). A Model of Unconventional Monetary Policy. *Journal of Monetary Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2010.10.004

Kaplan, G., Moll, B., & Violante, G. L. (2018). Monetary Policy According to HANK. *American Economic Review*. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20160042

Kydland, F., & Prescott, E. (1982). Time to Build and Aggregate Fluctuations. *Econometrica*. https://doi.org/10.2307/1913386

Lucas, R. (1976). *Econometric Policy Evaluation: A Critique*. Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2231(76)80003-6

Smets, F., & Wouters, R. (2003). An Estimated Stochastic Dynamic General Equilibrium Model of the Euro Area.

Journal of the European Economic Association. https://doi.org/10.1162/154247603770383415

Smets, F., & Wouters, R. (2007). Shocks and Frictions in US Business Cycles: A Bayesian DSGE Approach. *American Economic Review*. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.97.3.586

Stiglitz, J. (2018). Where Modern Macroeconomics Went Wrong. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. https://doi.org/10.3386/w23795

Taylor, J. B. (1993). *Discretion versus Policy Rules in Practice*. Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2231(93)90009-L

Woodford, M. (2003). *Interest and Prices: Foundations of a Theory of Monetary Policy*. Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400830169